



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

IN RE APPLICATION OF: Robert Z. Bachrach et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/675,031

FILED: September 30, 2003

FOR: METHOD OF CHEMICAL-MECHANICAL-JET  
ETCHING OF SEMICONDUCTOR STRUCTURES

§ GROUP ART UNIT: 1765

§

§

§

§

§

§

§

EXAMINER: K.C. Chen

Attorney Docket No.:  
AM-5609.D1

Date: October 21, 2005

**AMENDMENT "C"**  
**UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111**

**Hon. Commissioner for Patents**  
**P.O. Box 1450**  
**Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450**

Sir:

This Amendment "C" is in response to the Office Action mailed June 21, 2005, having a shortened statutory period for response of September 21, 2005. This Amendment "C" is accompanied by a One Month Petition for Extension of Time to respond, extending the period for response through October 21, 2005.

Claims 1 - 3, 10, 13 - 19, 25 and 27 are rejected. Claims 4 - 9, 11, 12, 20 - 24, and 26 are objected to.

---

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR § 1.10**

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as U.S. EXPRESS MAIL NO. EV 095247069 US in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Patent Application, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: October 21, 2005

Shirley L. Church, Reg. No. 31,858

---

An updating of the status of U.S. Application No. 09/932,396 on Page 1 of the Specification is required.

Claims 1 - 3, 10, 13 - 19, 25, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Frankoski et al. (U.S. 6,344, 106).

Claims 4 - 9, 11, 12, 20 - 24, and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but are said to be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Please amend the application as follows.